

APR 21 2025

tcamarda@gmx.com

From: tcamarda@gmx.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2025 2:50 PM
To: 'CA07_pro_se_filings@ca7.uscourts.gov'; 'civilrights.justice@usdoj.gov'; 'hhsoig@oig.hhs.gov'; 'oeig.general@illinois.gov'; 'information@iadc.org'; 'osc.whistleblower@osc.gov'; 'hfs.mru@illinois.gov'; 'hfs.dcscaru@illinois.gov'; 'judicialconduct@uscourts.gov'; 'civilrights@usdoj.gov'; 'CRM.CivilRights@usdoj.gov'; 'oig.hotline@usdoj.gov'; 'jib@illinois.gov'; 'civilrights@atg.state.il.us'; 'FOIA@treasury.gov'; 'ethics@americanbar.org'; 'usain.civilrights@usdoj.gov'; 'AO_Ombudsman@ao.uscourts.gov'; 'usms.judicial.protection@usdoj.gov'; 'inspector.general@usdoj.gov'; 'tips@oig.hhs.gov'; 'crt.intake@usdoj.gov'; 'watchdog@pogo.org'; 'CircuitClerk-MB'; 'statesattorney@mchenrycountyil.gov'; 'RLFreese@mchenrycountyil.gov'
Cc: 'CircuitClerk-MB'; 'statesattorney@mchenrycountyil.gov'; 'RLFreese@mchenrycountyil.gov'
Subject: FINAL ENFORCEMENT NOTICE AND RECORD CLOSURE UNDER FEDERAL SUPREMACY AND PROCEDURAL PREEMPTION
Attachments: A48 - SHOW CAUSE.pdf; A49 - EN BANC.pdf; A50 - Victim Impact Statement.pdf; A51 - WRIT OF CERTIORARI.pdf; A52 - PUBLIC RECORDS.pdf; A53 - SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF EN BANC REVIEW.pdf; DKT58 - 1 -Appellate Brief - 8DF - DKT58.pdf; DKT113 - ORDER - DKT113.pdf
Importance: High

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT MCHENRY
COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,
Plaintiff,

v.

THOMAS E. CAMARDA,
Plaintiff-Appellant, Pro Se (Special Appearance Only)

Case No. 24CM000976

**FINAL ENFORCEMENT NOTICE AND RECORD CLOSURE UNDER
FEDERAL SUPREMACY AND PROCEDURAL PREEMPTION**

NOW COMES Plaintiff-Appellant, Thomas E. Camarda, appearing specially, and submits this Final Enforcement Notice for the purpose of closing the state-level record in accordance with binding federal supremacy, perfected federal judgment, and the irrebuttable procedural default of the Defendants in Seventh Circuit Case No. 24-3244.

**I. FEDERAL JUDGMENT HAS BEEN PERFECTED AND STANDS
UNREVERSED**

Despite the issuance of a **nonprecedential ruling** by the Seventh Circuit on April 16, 2025, no part of the underlying procedural judgment has been disturbed or overturned. The dismissal:

- **Did not vacate or address the Rule 56(a) Summary Judgment entered as DKT113;**
- **Did not alter or nullify the Appellees' FRAP 31(c) default for failure to respond;**

- **Was issued without participation** by the Appellees, who were never served due to their own abandonment of jurisdiction;
- **Acknowledged the Court's prior threats of sanctions** for excessive filings, but never held any as frivolous on the merits of constitutional claims.

Thus, **the federal judgment stands unrebutted** and remains binding in its procedural effect. To date, the Defendants have never once answered, rebutted, or appeared. Silence, under Rule 56(a) and FRAP 31(c), is dispositive.

II: STATE RETALIATION REMAINS JURISDICTIONALLY VOID

The McHenry County prosecution remains invalid for the following reasons:

1. **Void Warrant:** Signed by a judge with no criminal division reassignment (Bruner; Franks; 28 U.S.C. § 1691);
2. **Retaliatory Nature:** Filed only after UCC enforcement and summary judgment (Hartman v. Moore, 547 U.S. 250);
3. **Constitutionally Protected Activity:** Communications at issue were made in the context of federal litigation and are protected under FRE 408 and the First Amendment (Lozman v. Riviera Beach);
4. **Color of Law Abuse:** Ongoing prosecution risks liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 18 U.S.C. § 242.

The State has no jurisdiction to prosecute a charge born from protected speech, under a void instrument, after federal default has already been perfected.

III. FEDERAL SUPREMACY REMAINS IN FULL EFFECT

This Court has been placed on full, formal notice of:

- Rule 56(a) procedural perfection
- FRAP 31(c) appellee default
- UCC § 9-601–625 enforcement of secured rights
- Pending SCOTUS notice of intent (if required for further clarification)

As a result, **this Court may not override or contradict the federal record.** Any further attempt to proceed under an unlawful warrant, retaliatory instrument, or sealed “discovery” that violates Brady and procedural due process will be treated as **ongoing federal interference**, subject to additional filing in federal venues.

IV. TODAY'S RULING CHANGES NOTHING — THE RECORD IS CLOSED

The Seventh Circuit's ruling is procedurally narrow, issued without full briefing, and remains nonprecedential. It **does not reverse**, vacate, or alter the constitutional standing of Plaintiff-Appellant, who:

- Lawfully enforced his federal rights;
- Perfected his judgment under summary and procedural rule;
- Is entitled to full constitutional protection from continued state retaliation.

Therefore, this matter is deemed fully adjudicated at the federal level.

V. PROCEDURAL POSTURE — PLAINTIFF REMAINS IN A WINNING POSITION

To ensure no misunderstanding by this or any reviewing court, Plaintiff-Appellant clarifies the following:

1. Federal Judgment Was Procedurally Perfected

- The **Appellate Brief (DKT113)** was filed on **February 13, 2025**.
- Appellees **never responded**, triggering **FRAP 31(c) default**.
- No motion to extend, appear, or rebut was ever entered by Defendants.
- **Summary judgment under Rule 56(a)** was perfected by silence and remains unreversed.

2. Subsequent Denial Was Not a Merits-Based Ruling

- The Seventh Circuit's **nonprecedential order** failed to engage the federal claims presented.
- The ruling **did not address or vacate** the default judgment, nor did it correct the constitutional facts of record.
- By operation of law, the **procedural win stands** — with **federal supremacy unshaken**.

3. Show Cause Response Has Been Filed

- Plaintiff-Appellant complied with the Court's April 16 show cause

order.

- A full rebuttal was filed demonstrating that filings were lawful, necessary, and procedurally required.
- No intent to harass or abuse process exists — rather, Plaintiff responded to repeated obstruction and unlawful retaliation.

4. **En Banc Review is Pending**

- A Petition for En Banc Reconsideration has been filed, outlining:
 - Constitutional misapplication of the **domestic relations exception**;
 - The fact that no child support **modification or decree** was ever requested;
 - That this is a **§ 1983 civil rights action** rooted in **federal tort law**, not family law.
- Supplemental briefing and clarification have been provided.

5. **Victim Impact Statement Has Been Entered**

- Documenting emotional and economic harm, retaliatory deprivation of rights, and family trauma (could write another 1900 pages on that, but we're not here for that, we're here for the law);
- Filed in accordance with public interest principles and to support federal relief on remand or at the Supreme Court.

6. **Notice of Writ Prepared for Filing at Supreme Court**

- A formal notice has been issued that a **Petition for Writ of Certiorari** is being prepared.
- This is not a speculative appeal — it is rooted in the violation of:
 - First Amendment
 - Fourteenth Amendment Due Process
 - 42 U.S.C. § 1983 enforcement rights
 - Article VI (Supremacy Clause)
 - Clear abuse of the **domestic relations exception** to evade § 1983 liability

BOTTOM LINE: THE CASE IS NOT LOST. THE RECORD IS ALIVE. THE PLAINTIFF REMAINS THE PREVAILING PARTY.

Any misreading of today's appellate disposition as "closure" is incorrect. There is no final adjudication on the merits. There has been no rebuttal to the core filings. There is no factual or legal basis to presume state jurisdiction or to allow further prosecution of charges arising from protected federal activity.

The case is **procedurally alive, constitutionally valid, and strategically escalated.**

All parties — including this Court — are now on notice.

VI. NOTICE OF JUDICIAL RECORD CLOSURE

As of this filing:

- McHenry County retains **no valid jurisdiction** over Plaintiff-Appellant;
- All charges arising from void process must be **dismissed with prejudice**;
- All prior obstructed filings have been resubmitted, docketed, and preserved under i2File and supplemental federal notice;
- It should be noted McHenry did eventually docket the filings **after** notices of obstruction. Adherence to process and the law is always appreciated by the Plaintiff.
- The full record is now archived for public transparency and pending oversight.

This record is closed. Any further state action will be deemed unconstitutional and treated as willful interference with federal authority.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas E. Camarda

Thomas E. Camarda, Plaintiff-Appellant, Pro Se
Seventh Circuit Case No. 24-3244

Email: tcamarda@gmx.com

(224) 279-8856

Dated: April 16, 2025